DEC 28'05 Filed 12/3<u>0</u>/2005 11:06 No.001 P.03 Page 1 of 4

> FILED US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ALASKA

r N	THE	CHTTMI	2377472	DISTRICT	COLLBA
LM	THE	UNITED	DIMILO	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

2005 DEC 30 PM 4: 10

TANISHA BOZEMAN, )	
Plaintiff, )	
PROGRESSIVE CASUALTY ) INSURANCE COMPANY and ) INTEGRITY INSURANCE ) AGENCY, LLC	
Defendant. )	Case No. A05-0178 CV (JKS)

## AMENDED SCHEDULING AND PLANNING CONFERENCE REPORT<sup>1</sup>

1.	Meet	ting	g. In	accord	ance	with	F.R.Civ.P.	26(f),	a	meeting
was	held	on	Decemb	er 13,	2005	and	attended by	7:		

P. Dennis Maloney for Tanisha Bozeman, Plaintiff

Aisha Tinker Bray for Progressive Casualty Insurance Company, Defendant

Kenneth G. Schoolcraft for Integrity Insurance Agency, LLC, Defendant

The parties recommend the following:

2. Pre-Discovery Disclosures. The information required by F.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1):

has been exchanged by the parties.

Preliminary witness lists

have been exchanged by the parties.

| x | will be exchanged by the parties by January 16, 2006.

Pursuant to the Court's Minute Order From Chambers of December 22, 2005, the parties amended this report to include a date specific in Paragraph 4.B.

Contested Issues of Fact and Law. Preliminarily, the parties expect the following issues of fact and/or law to be presented to the court at trial in this matter:

Uninsured (UM) coverage, bad faith, compensatory and punitive damages, affirmative defenses.

	punt	cive damages, dillimative detenses.				
4. the		overy Plan. The parties jointly propose to the court wing discovery plan:				
	A.	Discovery will be needed on the following issues: See No. 3 above				
	В.	All discovery commenced in time to be completed by November 17, 2006 ("discovery close date").				
	c.	Limitations on Discovery.				
		<pre>1. Interrogatories.</pre>				
		<pre>2. Requests for Admission. [X] No change from F.R.Civ.P. 36(a). [ Maximum of requests.</pre>				
		3. Depositions.  X No change from F.R.Civ.P. 30(a), (d).  Maximum of depositions by each party.  Depositions not to exceed hours unless agreed to by all parties.				
	D.	Reports from retained experts.  Not later than 90 days before the close of discovery subject to F.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(C).  Reports due:  From plaintiff From defendant  see below				
		From defendant See berow				

- Plaintiff requests simultaneous disclosure of
- expert witness reports and simultaneous disclosure of rebuttal reports 30 days later. Defendants request seriatim disclosure of expert witness reports and rebuttal reports. Both parties agree

Page 2 of 4 SCHEDULING AND PLANNING CONFERENCE REPORT (Rev 07/04) Case No. A05-0178 CV (JKS) Bozeman v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.

F.R.Civ.P. 26(f)

that initial expert witness reports should be disclosed no later than 60 days prior to the close of discovery.

	Ε.	Supplementation of disclosures and discovery responses are to be made:  X Periodically at 60-day intervals from entry of scheduling and planning order.  As new information is acquired, but not later than 60 days before the close of discovery.			
	F.	A final witness list, disclosing all lay and expert witnesses whom a party may wish to call at trial, will be due:			
		X 45 days prior to the close of discovery.  Not later than			
5.		rial Motions. o change from D.Ak. LR 16.1(c).			
	The following changes to D.Ak. LR 16.1(c).  Motions to amend pleadings or add parties to be filed not later than				
	₩.	otions under the discovery rules must be filed not attentions			
	мо	otions in limine and dispositive motions must be filed ot later than			
6.	Other	Provisions.			
	A.	X The parties do not request a conference with the court before entry of the scheduling order. The parties request a scheduling conference with the court on the following issue(s):			
	В.	Alternative Dispute Resolution. [D.Ak.LR 16.2]  X This matter is not considered a candidate for court-annexed alternative dispute resolution.  The parties will file a request for alternative dispute resolution not later than  Mediation  Early Neutral Evaluation			

Page 3 of 4 SCHEDULING AND PLANNING CONFERENCE REPORT (Rev 07/04) Case No. A05-0178 CV (JKS) Bozeman v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.

F.R.Civ.P. 26(f)

c.	The parties $\square$ do $\square$ do not consent to trial before a magistrate judge.
D.	Compliance with Disclosure Requirements of F.R.Civ.P.7.1  X All parties have complied.  Compliance not required by any party.
7. Tri	al.
	The matter will be ready for trial: 45 days after the discovery close date. not later than 90 days after the discovery closing date.
В.	The matter is expected to take5 days to try.
с.	Jury Demanded: X Yes No Right to jury trial disputed? Yes X No
12/2	35 Dethuarth
Date	P. Dennis Maloney, P.C. P. Dennis Maloney, P.C. Attorney for Tanisha Bozeman
12/28	7/05 Disha JBrail

for

Gary A. Zipkin, Guess & Rudd P.C. Attorneys for Progressive Casualty Insurance Company

F.R.Civ.P. 26(f)

Date